

The Hon. Robert S. Lasnik

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAJED AL-MAAREJ,

Defendant.

NO. CR23-147-RSL

**PROTECTIVE ORDER  
RESTRAINING CERTAIN  
FORFEITABLE PROPERTY**

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the United States' Motion for a Protective Order Restraining Certain Forfeitable Property ("Motion"), of the following property (the "Subject Property"):

- a. 2021 Cadillac Escalade ESV 4-door Sport Platinum,  
VIN: 1GYS3RKL1MR166941 ("Subject Property 1");
- b. Approximately \$90,350 in U.S. Currency ("Subject Property 2");
- c. Approximately \$45,000 in U.S. Currency ("Subject Property 3"); and
- d. A stainless steel, gold, and diamond Rolex watch ("Subject Property 4");  
and
- e. A silver David Yuman 8mm curb chain necklace, pave-set with black  
diamonds of approximately 5.28 carat weight ("Subject Property 5").

1 The Court, having reviewed the papers and pleadings filed in this matter, including  
 2 the United States' Motion and the supporting Declaration of Federal Bureau of  
 3 Investigation ("FBI") Special Agent ("SA") Dean Giboney, hereby FINDS entry of a  
 4 protective order restraining the identified Subject Property is appropriate because:

- 5 • The United States gave notice in the Indictment of its intent to pursue  
 6 forfeiture of any proceeds of the Conspiracy to Commit Wire and Mail  
 7 Fraud, Wire Fraud, and Mail Fraud offenses (Dkt. No. 1);
- 8 • The United States also gave notice of its intent to pursue forfeiture of  
 9 specific property in a Forfeiture Bill of Particulars, Dkt. No. 21, which  
 10 specifically identifies the Subject Property for forfeiture;
- 11 • Based on the facts set forth in the Declaration of SA Giboney, there is  
 12 probable cause to believe the Subject Property constitutes or is traceable to  
 13 proceeds of the charged offenses and may, upon Defendant's conviction, be  
 14 forfeited in this case; and,
- 15 • To ensure the Subject Property remains available for forfeiture, its  
 16 continued restraint pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853(e)(1) is appropriate.

17  
 18 NOW, THEREFORE, THE COURT ORDERS:

19 1. The United States' request for a protective order restraining the Subject  
 20 Property pending the conclusion of this case is GRANTED; and

21 //

22  
 23 //

24  
 25 //  
 26  
 27

DATED this 18th day of March, 2024.

THE HON. ROBERT S. LASNIK  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Assistant United States Attorney  
700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220  
Seattle, WA 98101-1271  
Telephone: (206) 553-2242  
Fax: (206) 553-6934  
E-mail: [krista.bush@usdoj.gov](mailto:krista.bush@usdoj.gov)